

# Hillsborough Permit Parking Scheme 2010

## Zone 1 2010

Please take a few minutes to give us your views

<b>Q1</b>	<b>Questionnaire No</b>	
	1) 1A	69 (17.7%)
	2) 1B	61 (15.7%)
	3) 1C	46 (11.8%)
	4) 1D	83 (21.3%)
	5) 1E	50 (12.9%)
	6) 1F	79 (20.3%)
<b>Q2</b>	<b>What road do you live on</b>	
	Avondale Road	5 (1.3%)
	Beechwood Road	1 (0.3%)
	Beeley Wood Road	1 (0.3%)
	Bradfield Road	15 (3.9%)
	Brier Street	10 (2.6%)
	Borough Road	3 (0.8%)
	Broughton Road	16 (4.1%)
	Burnell Road	18 (4.6%)
	Burrowlee Road	16 (4.1%)
	Cannock Street	7 (1.8%)
	Cheadle Street	9 (2.3%)
	Clarence Road	26 (6.7%)
	Dodd Street	13 (3.3%)
	Dykes Hall Road	5 (1.3%)
	Forbes Road	0 (0.0%)
	Haden Street	4 (1.0%)
	Haggard Road	1 (0.3%)
	Hammerton Road	5 (1.3%)
	Hatton Road	0 (0.0%)
	Hawksley Avenue	50 (12.9%)
	Hawksley Mews	2 (0.5%)
	Hawksley Road	9 (2.3%)
	Hawksley Street	1 (0.3%)
	Hawthorn Road	3 (0.8%)
	Hillsborough Place	4 (1.0%)
	Hillsborough Road	6 (1.5%)
	Holme Close	5 (1.3%)
	Holme Lane	3 (0.8%)
	Hunter Road	12 (3.1%)
	Kipling Road	2 (0.5%)
	Langsett Road	4 (1.0%)
	Limbrick Close	0 (0.0%)
	Limbrick Road	5 (1.3%)
	May Road	7 (1.8%)
	Middlewood Road	7 (1.8%)
	Owlerton Green	2 (0.5%)

Park View Road	13 (3.3%)
Penistone Road	0 (0.0%)
Proctor Place	0 (0.0%)
Regent Court	3 (0.8%)
Rider Road	5 (1.3%)
Ripley Street	1 (0.3%)
River Terrace	0 (0.0%)
Rosselle Street	5 (1.3%)
Rudyard Road	18 (4.6%)
Taplin Road	14 (3.6%)
Tresswell Crescent	14 (3.6%)
Trickett Road	19 (4.9%)
Walkley Lane	0 (0.0%)

**Q3 How far do you support the new proposals for your area**

a) Fully support	191 (49.1%)
b) Partly support	80 (20.6%)
c) Don't support	107 (27.5%)
d) Not sure	7 (1.8%)

**Q4 Comments**

1. Shouldn't have to pay to park (and space not guaranteed)/money making scheme	93 (23.9%)
2. Parking always difficult/should extend throughout year/better than current situation	15 (3.9%)
3. Needs to be 24/7 - or 24/6 exc Sundays	4 (1.0%)
4. May create more problems	6 (1.5%)
5. Introduce system police used - badge on windscreen - free	0 (0.0%)
6. Extend 6am-6pm	0 (0.0%)
7. Should be no charge to residents and some free visitor permits before paying for subsequent ones	12 (3.1%)
8. Happy for scheme on matchdays only - not all times	1 (0.3%)
9. SWFC should provide parking for fans (reasonable price)	0 (0.0%)
10. SWFC partly responsible and should pay towards cost of permits	0 (0.0%)
11. Should be residents only/better parking for residents	14 (3.6%)
12. Where do I park the 3rd car?	2 (0.5%)
13. Signs should be enough with painting white lined bays	1 (0.3%)
14. Should be no- motorcycle through road sign at top & bottom of road	1 (0.3%)
15. We support the scheme/will help	68 (17.5%)
16. Moving problems to other roads	5 (1.3%)
17. Can't park either for commuters or visitors to pubs in evening/teacher at Sacred Hear	17 (4.4%)
18. Unnecessary scheme - our road not affected and can always park/can park in evenings	14 (3.6%)
19. Police cars parked for days on end are our major problem	6 (1.5%)
20. Support but who would enforce/support if double yellows protect drives - encourage use	4 (1.0%)
21. People/pensioners who don't drive should be able to get permit for family use	1 (0.3%)
22. PP will help solve problems with garage parking (2hr parking will not as they will shuffle cars around)	2 (0.5%)
23. PP Park View to Penistone Rd will help with match day problems - 2hr limited parking will not	1 (0.3%)
24. I hr in blue or green area should be enough/why change	3 (0.8%)
25. Tired of commuter/workers parking every day/college users	14 (3.6%)
26. need enforcement/who will check & issue fines/supervise	20 (5.1%)
27. Hawksley should not be 2hr only - pl explain/Should be permit holder only	7 (1.8%)
28. Would like it to run every day as well as Sunday because of church parking	1 (0.3%)
29. Each house should get visitor permit	1 (0.3%)
30. Will affect businesses - will move to areas where there is parking/no space for deliveries	8 (2.1%)
31. Need carers to visit/family	7 (1.8%)
32. Will reduce available parking, too many cars already, flats and multi occupants/marked bays reduce space	8 (2.1%)
33. Blue badge holders will still park where ever they like	2 (0.5%)

34. People will park on private forecourt/will escalate current verbal abuse and intimidation	1 (0.3%)
35. Make park & ride free to encourage commuters off residential streets	0 (0.0%)
36. Do something about taxis on double yellows on Rudyard/unofficial rank	6 (1.5%)
37. Should be double yellows o/s Regents Court	1 (0.3%)
38. Might stop students parking Bradfield Rd causing traffic problems	1 (0.3%)
39. Doubt Council will note my opinion/do what they want	3 (0.8%)
40. Roselle St should be restricted on one side -inc disabled driver who block Simpkins deliveries	1 (0.3%)
41. Asked to pay to park with no guaranteed space anywhere near my home	8 (2.1%)
42. 20p won't encourage people to use car parks	2 (0.5%)
43. Should only allow business permits for those in restricted area	0 (0.0%)
44. Cheadle St - badly parked cars cause huge problems	2 (0.5%)
45. Doesn't take account of overnight Saturday parking or Sunday games	1 (0.3%)
46. River Rd should be residents only	1 (0.3%)
47. Parking both sides Walkley Ln causes congestion	1 (0.3%)
48. 1-way system would allow full parking up one side	1 (0.3%)
49. Use garage car park (Council run) for permit holders	2 (0.5%)
50. May struggle to get into car park at Bradfield Road	1 (0.3%)
51. Don't see need for double yellows at park entrance on Hawksley	1 (0.3%)
52. Put yellows across entrance/exits to Burrowlee Park Sq	1 (0.3%)
53. Thought it was to be free to low emissions cars?	1 (0.3%)
54. People ignore signs and double yellows at Hawkley Mews	1 (0.3%)
55. Not as good as other pp schemes but workable	1 (0.3%)
56. Would support if permits for people at address concerned	1 (0.3%)
57. Make grass verge in to extra parking	1 (0.3%)
58. Restrictions should start 8.30am	1 (0.3%)
59. Should be no return or people will feed meters	1 (0.3%)
60. How many permits will go to Social Services - can't park for them now	1 (0.3%)
61. Suggested loading bay Rudyard will not address access problems	1 (0.3%)
62. Fully support if permits for Trickett Rd only and other streets	1 (0.3%)
63. Too many parked cars - need better and cheaper public transport	1 (0.3%)
64. Put double yellows 10-34 Hawksley Ave	2 (0.5%)
65. Street has so many businesses that full of vans, tow trucks and lorries - parked on pavements etc.	3 (0.8%)
66. Holme Close (5) request vehicle access be provided with double yellows as shown 24/4/10	1 (0.3%)
67. Reduce length single yellow to driveway May Rd (24)	0 (0.0%)
68. Should be until 5pm & not 6.30	2 (0.5%)
69. Stop cars parking in ridiculous manner/inconsiderate parking reduces spaces	1 (0.3%)
70. Will not resolve problems Hawksley Ave	4 (1.0%)
71. Should not put single yellow across my drive on Holme Close	1 (0.3%)
72. Will not improve parking on Clarence Rd or Borough Rd	2 (0.5%)
73. Should residents only 3-6.30pm	2 (0.5%)
74. Will affect students/shopper & fans - will use Morrisons instead leaving their customers nowhere to park	1 (0.3%)
75. Remove yellows o/s 59-75 Hawkley Ave	1 (0.3%)
76. Needs to be provision for skips & removal vans	1 (0.3%)
77. Need double not single yellows across drives Hawksley	1 (0.3%)
78. Parking most difficult in evening when people visit park -should be resident only 6.30pm - 8am	1 (0.3%)
79. Need to address rat run Dodd Street	1 (0.3%)
80. Briar St not available to business permits - never been an issue for residents	1 (0.3%)
81. Just a tick box exercise not consultation/decision already made	2 (0.5%)